Approved For Release 20	003/08/25 : CIA-RDP8	0B01676R001400050067-1
25X1		
		3 August 1964
MEMORANDUM FOR:	Executive Direct	

- 1. I agree that the future of the Ad Hoc Committee is dim and that, from an administrative viewpoint, it could well be abolished. What bothers me is that the Director of Central Intelligence has statutory responsibility for the protection of intelligence sources and methods and if he just simply allows this tired, old problem to die without continuing his efforts in one form or another, he would be subject to criticism. Accordingly, I have trouble in agreeing with Houston's paper.
- 2. I recall authorizing dispatch to Senator Russell (and I think one other Senator or Representative) a copy of the CIA submission to the USIB Ad Hoc Committee as a matter for their information. While this would relieve the Director in part, I somehow have a feeling it is incumbent upon him to place the responsibility on the Congress where it must inevitably rest in any event.
- 3. Accordingly, I suggest you discuss this matter with the Director and get his views as to other possible courses of action before letting him dissolve a USIB committee or otherwise shoving the problem under the rug.

/s/ MSC

Marshall S. Carter Lieutenant General, USA Deputy Director

MSC:blp
Distribution:
Original - Addressee w/orig of att (ER 64-5397)
1 - DDCI w/cy att

, v	
	25X′

EC 5390

31 July 1964

MEMCRANDUM FOR: Director of Central Intelligence

SUBJECT:

Kan A

USIB Ad Hoc Committee to Study Legislation for the Protection of Classified Information

- 1. This memorandum contains recommendations in paragraph 4 for approval by the Director of Central Intelligence.
- Z. The USIB Ad Hoc Committee to Study Legislation for the Protection of Classified Information has struggled long and hard to develop means to strengthen criminal legislation pertaining to unauthorized disclosure of intelligence information. The legal problems faced under our constitutional system were formidable, and in all probability no clear-cut resolution is possible in the Committee.
- 3. There are basically different approaches, both in the approach to the problem and on the substantive nature of the legislation. The Committee did agree that any one of several draft statutes would result in at least some improvement over the protection provided by present legislation, but each would have its own problems, both legal and legislative.
- 4. Since the Committee cannot present USIB with an agreed proposal, it is my opinion that this division would be reflected in USIB idself. In view of this and of my opinion that the chances of any proposal of this nature being acted on through the legislative process in the near future are poor, I believe it would be inadvisable to make any official move for such legislation at this time. I, therefore, recommend that the Director propose to USIB that the Ad Hoc Committee be discharged and that it be left to the Director to determine if and when this matter should be resurrected and under what auspices. Much hard work has been done and many contributions made by the members of the Committee, which would be of great value if this matter is resurrected in the future. Therefore,

Approved For Please 2003/08/25 : CIA-RDP80B01676R001400050067-1

I believe it would be appropriate if thanks to the members of the Com-		
	LAWRENCE R. HOUSTON Chairman, Ad Hoc Committee	
The recommendations in paragraph 4 are approved		
JOHN A. McCONE Director	Date	

OGC:LRH:jeb
OrigoOGC
1-DCL
1-ER
1-Office of Security

Secretary and the second			
MEMORANDUM F	OR: General arter		
committee to sified inform tee be disbar committee a this matter	ouston, as Chairman of the ad hoc o study legislation to protect clasmation, proposes that the commitmed. As you know, the ad hoc and USIB itself have been split on and he believes it would be inadake any move for such legislation. I have gone over this with	25X1	
who con	cura.		
	<u>(i)</u>		
* •		25X1	
	8/3/64 (DATE)		
			25X1

